



**Universität Konstanz**

Department of Politics and Management

Master Thesis 2003

Björn Hörl – [bjornhoerl@web.de](mailto:bjornhoerl@web.de)

**The role of the Conciliation Committee  
in the EU decision making process**

**CODEBOOK**

## I. Variables: original data set

<u>Variable</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Coding</u>	<u>Column</u>
Dimen.	conflict dimension		2
	Regulation	1	
	Integration	2	
	Institutional	3	
	Financial	4	
	Time	5	
Pos.EP	Policy position of the EP		3
	from Low	0	
	Medium	50	
	to High	100	
Pos.Cou	Policy position of the Council		4
	from Low	0	
	Medium	50	
	to High	100	
Pos.Com	Policy position of the Commission		5
	from Low	0	
	Medium	50	
	to High	100	
Pos.Out	Policy position of the Outcome		6
	from Low	0	
	Medium	50	
	to High	100	
Pos.SQ	Policy position of the Status quo		7
	from Low	0	
	Medium	50	
	to High	100	
Pref.EP	Preference distribution of the EP		8
	Homogenous	1	
	Minor differences	2	
	Moderate differences	3	
	Major differences	4	
	Heterogeneous	5	

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Coding</b>	<b>Column</b>
Pref.Cou	Preference distribution of the Council		9
	Homogenous	1	
	Minor differences	2	
	Moderate differences	3	
	Major differences	4	
	Heterogeneous	5	
Sal.EP	Salience of the proposal to the EP		10
	Indifference	1	
	Minor interest	2	
	Moderate interest	3	
	Major interest	4	
	Very high interest	5	
Sal.Cou	Salience of the proposal to the Council		11
	Indifference	1	
	Minor interest	2	
	Moderate interest	3	
	Major interest	4	
	Very high interest	5	
KPP.EP	EP's knowledge of Council's policy position		12
	Not informed	1	
	Poorly informed	2	
	Moderately informed	3	
	Well informed	4	
	Perfect information	5	
KPP.Cou	Council's knowledge of EP's policy position		13
	Not informed	1	
	Poorly informed	2	
	Moderately informed	3	
	Well informed	4	
	Perfect information	5	
Exp.EP	Expertise of the EP delegation in the policy field		14
	Not informed	1	
	Poorly informed	2	
	Moderately informed	3	
	Well informed	4	
	Perfect information	5	
Exp.Cou	Expertise of the Council delegation in the policy field		15
	Not informed	1	
	Poorly informed	2	
	Moderately informed	3	
	Well informed	4	
	Perfect information	5	

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Coding</b>	<b>Column</b>
Discf.EP	Discount factor of the EP		16
	Indifference	1	
	Minor interest in time	2	
	Moderate interest in time	3	
	Major interest in time	4	
	Very high interest in time	5	
Discf.Cou	Discount factor of the Council		17
	Indifference	1	
	Minor interest in time	2	
	Moderate interest in time	3	
	Major interest in time	4	
	Very high interest in time	5	
Committee	EP committee with jurisdiction for the bill		18
	Environment/Health	1	
	Culture/Youth	2	
	Employment/Social	3	
	Industry/Trade	4	
	Development	5	
	Regional/Transport	6	
	Legal/Internal market	7	
	Agriculture	8	
	Justice/Home affairs	9	
Equal Opportunity	10		
Cou.Pres	Council Presidency		19
	Finland	1	
	Portugal	2	
	France	3	
	Sweden	4	
	Belgium	5	
	Spain	6	
Covte	Voting requirement in the Council		20
	QMV	0	
	Unanimity	1	
Rap	Party membership of the rapporteur		21
	EPP-ED	1	
	PES	2	
	ELDR	3	
	G/EFA	4	
D.median	Distance from the ideal position of EP and Council to the median position		22
	from EP and Council equal	0	
	to EP and Council opposite	50	

## II. Baseline - Dataset:

<u>Variable</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Coding</u>	<u>Column</u>
D.EP.out	Distance between EP and Outcome		2
	from Low	0	
	to Medium	50	
	to High	100	
D.Co.out	Distance between Council and Outcome		3
	from Low	0	
	to Medium	50	
	to High	100	
D.median	Distance from the ideal position of EP and Council to the median position		4
	from EP and Council equal	0	
	to EP and Council opposite	50	
D.SQ	Distance to the Status quo		5
	Council is closer to the SQ	0	
	EP is closer to the SQ	50	
1			
Pref.EP	Preference distribution of the EP		6
	homogeneous	0	
	not homogeneous	1	
Pref.Cou	Preference distribution of the Council		7
	homogeneous	0	
	not homogeneous	1	
Sal.EP	Salience of the proposal to the EP		8
	Very high interest	0	
	Low interest	1	
Sal.Cou	Salience of the proposal to the Council		9
	Very high interest	0	
	Low interest	1	
Exp.EP	Expertise of the EP delegation in the policy field		10
	Perfectly informed	0	
	Not perfectly informed	1	
Exp.Cou	Expertise of the Council delegation in the policy field		11
	Perfectly informed	0	
	Not perfectly informed	1	
Discf.Ep	Discount factor of the EP		12
	Major interest in time	0	
	Minor interest in time	1	

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Coding</b>	<b>Column</b>
Discf.Cou	Discount factor of the Council		13
	Major interest in time	0	
	Minor interest in time	1	
D.Com.EP	Distance between Com and Outcome		14
	from Low	0	
	Medium	50	
	to High	100	
D.Com.Co	Distance between Com and Outcome		15
	from Low	0	
	Medium	50	
	to High	100	
Committee	EP committee with jurisdiction for the bill		16
	Environment/Health	1	
	other than environment	0	
Cou.Pres	Council Presidency		17
	Sweden, Spain	0	
	Belgium, Finland, France and Portugal	1	
Covte	Voting requirement in the Council		18
	QMV	0	
	Unanimity	1	
Rap	Party membership of the rapporteur		19
	EPP-ED and PES	0	
	ELDR and G/EFA	1	

### III. Welfare Utility - Dataset:

<u>Variable</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Coding</u>	<u>Column</u>
EP.SQ.OUT	Welfare utility of the EP from decrease to increase	-100 100	2
CO.SQ.OUT	Distance between Council and Outcome from decrease to increase	-100 100	3
Pref.EP	Preference distribution of the EP homogeneous not homogeneous	0 1	4
Pref.Cou	Preference distribution of the Council homogeneous not homogeneous	0 1	5
Sal.EP	Salience of the proposal to the EP Very high interest Low interest	0 1	6
Sal.Cou	Salience of the proposal to the Council Very high interest Low interest	0 1	7
Exp.EP	Expertise of the EP delegation in the policy field Perfectly informed Not perfectly informed	0 1	8
Exp.Cou	Expertise of the Council delegation in the policy field Perfectly informed Not perfectly informed	0 1	9
Discf.EP	Discount factor of the EP Major interest in time Minor interest in time	0 1	10
Discf.Cou	Discount factor of the Council Major interest in time Minor interest in time	0 1	11
D.Com.EP	Distance between Com and Outcome from Low 0 Medium 50 to High 100		12

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Coding</b>	<b>Column</b>
D.Com.Co	Distance between Com and Outcome from Low Medium to High	0 50 100	13
Committee	EP committee with jurisdiction for the bill Environment/Health other than environment	1 0	14
Cou.Pres	Council Presidency Sweden, Spain Belgium, Finland, France and Portugal	0 1	15
Covte	Voting requirement in the Council QMV Unanimity	0 1	16
Rap	Party membership of the rapporteur EPP-ED and PES ELDR and G/EFA	0 1	17