

Prof. Dr. Thomas Gschwend


Multivariate Analysen (49120)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 20



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende


Fragestext




n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
md=Median
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

Course


The course contents were very well structured.




The course contents were covered very well by using appropriate examples.




The instructor managed to motivate me.




The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students.



The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in their course.




In relation to the number of ECTS credits I received, the average workload for this course is ...
(One ECTS credit corresponds to an average workload of approx. 30 hours.)




Instructor


The instructor's explanations were clear.




Answers to questions were always helpful.




The instructor made an effort to find out whether explanations were understood.




Students were encouraged to ask questions and to participate in discussions.




The instructor encouraged students to critically examine the topics they were dealing with.




The instructor conveyed why the content is valuable and useful.




The instructor created a link between theory and practice.




The structure of the contents was logical and understandable.




The instructor was well-prepared.




The course was organized well (e.g. time management, organization of course dates).




The instructor provided helpful material (e.g. course notes, slides, literature).




The instructor was dedicated and motivated.




The instructor was friendly and respectful towards the students.




The course requirements were explicated (e.g. type of exam, transparent assessment criteria, exemplary exam questions).




The learning objectives were clear and understandable.



Feedback on examinations/graded contributions was valuable.




The instructor offered an opportunity to provide feedback on the course.




Students

I had sufficient previous knowledge to follow the contents of the course.




Learning Outcomes


I have learned a lot of important and useful things in this course.



My understanding of the subject has evolved due to taking part in the course.




My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course.




Context


Learning material (course notes, slides, literature) were easily accessible and available on time.



The range of topics covered was appropriate.




Please name the reason for taking this course:




Overall


Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor.



Overall, I am very satisfied with the course.



I learned a lot in this course.



Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Sozialwissenschaften
 Name der/des Lehrenden: Prof. Dr. Thomas Gschwend
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Multivariate Analyses (49120)
 (Name der Umfrage)


Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

Course

The course contents were very well structured.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,8
The course contents were covered very well by using appropriate examples.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,7	md=2,0	s=0,7
The instructor managed to motivate me.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,5	md=1,0	s=0,8
The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,7	md=1,5	s=0,9
The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in their course.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,5
In relation to the number of ECTS credits I received, the average workload for this course is ... (One ECTS credit corresponds to an average	very high		very low	n=20	mw=1,9	md=2,0	s=0,8

Instructor

The instructor's explanations were clear.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,9	md=2,0	s=0,9
Answers to questions were always helpful.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,9	md=2,0	s=1,1
The instructor made an effort to find out whether explanations were understood.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,8	md=1,5	s=1,1
Students were encouraged to ask questions and to participate in discussions.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,7	md=1,0	s=1,2
The instructor encouraged students to critically examine the topics they were dealing with.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=2,0	md=2,0	s=1,1
The instructor conveyed why the content is valuable and useful.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,5	md=1,0	s=1,1
The instructor created a link between theory and practice.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,9	md=2,0	s=1,1
The structure of the contents was logical and understandable.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,8	md=1,0	s=1,1
The instructor was well-prepared.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,8
The course was organized well (e.g. time management, organization of course dates).	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,7	md=1,0	s=1,0
The instructor provided helpful material (e.g. course notes, slides, literature).	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,6	md=1,0	s=1,0
The instructor was dedicated and motivated.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,2	md=1,0	s=0,4
The instructor was friendly and respectful towards the students.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,6
The course requirements were explicated (e.g. type of exam, transparent assessment criteria, exemplary exam questions).	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,5	md=1,0	s=0,8
The learning objectives were clear and understandable.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=1,6	md=1,0	s=0,9
Feedback on examinations/graded contributions was valuable.	I completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=20	mw=2,0	md=2,0	s=1,1

The instructor offered an opportunity to provide feedback on the course. I completely agree  I do not agree at all n=18 mw=1,9 md=1,0 s=1,4

Students

I had sufficient previous knowledge to follow the contents of the course. I completely agree  I do not agree at all n=20 mw=2,4 md=2,0 s=1,2


Learning Outcomes


I have learned a lot of important and useful things in this course. I completely agree  I do not agree at all n=20 mw=1,4 md=1,0 s=0,7

My understanding of the subject has evolved due to taking part in the course. I completely agree  I do not agree at all n=20 mw=1,5 md=1,0 s=1,1

My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course. I completely agree  I do not agree at all n=20 mw=1,8 md=1,0 s=1,2

Context

Learning material (course notes, slides, literature) were easily accessible and available on time. I completely agree  I do not agree at all n=20 mw=1,6 md=1,0 s=0,8

The range of topics covered was appropriate. I completely agree  I do not agree at all n=20 mw=1,7 md=1,5 s=0,9

Overall

Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor. I completely agree  I do not agree at all n=20 mw=1,5 md=1,0 s=0,6

Overall, I am very satisfied with the course. I completely agree  I do not agree at all n=20 mw=1,7 md=1,0 s=1,1

I learned a lot in this course. I completely agree  I do not agree at all n=20 mw=1,5 md=1,0 s=1,0

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

Students

Besides the time and work you spent for a presentation or homework, how many hours per week did it take you to prepare and revise the course?

- 2 (4 Nennungen)
- 3 (3 Nennungen)
- 4 (4 Nennungen)
- 6 (3 Nennungen)
- 6-8
- 8 (2 Nennungen)
- 10 (2 Nennungen)
- 12

How many sessions did you miss?

- 0 (11 Nennungen)
- 1 (5 Nennungen)
- 2 (2 Nennungen)
- 3
- 4

Context

Please name the reason for taking this course:

- good to get a fundamental basic knowledge in statistics

Open Questions

Further comments regarding the course:

- - Please, do not show the distribution of exam grades.
- I would like to learn from the mistakes which I made in the midterm exam. The office hour was not enough in my opinion. Maybe you could go over common mistakes.
- Be more clear with the date of the exam.
To further motivate students that do not want to stay in academia: find examples from other areas, how linear regression could be applied in data science or in other areas in which political scientists are employed.
- Course was planned and organized excellently. Slides and material was always available on time. The exam was fair and the instructor was clearly interested in having all participants understand the course content
- I liked the course a lot!! I only have one small critic point: The examples from the political science area were sometimes a bit confusing for people not coming from this background (e.g. data science). I think I would have understood some topics faster if I wouldn't have to look up many political science terms.
- It would be nice if the quiz-slides were uploaded as well.
- It's a very relevant course for the program and I'd like to remark the importance to take as a mandatory course. A suggestion only would be to focus a little more on the interpretations of models (ie regression table). I believe because of the heavy workload this was covered quite in a rush.
- More example would be suitable in this lecture to help better understand the course
- No.
- Regarding my experience in this course, I felt that the professor was quite motivated, however, he did not take into considerations the

students' international background or lack of knowledge in statistics. Also, the assignments for this course were not well written, which complicated our understanding. The materials were also not very helpful and I felt I did not learn the subjects with density. The time was not well managed and sometimes it felt like we were rushing through the subjects without enough time for questions.

- Sometimes a bit fast,
- Too much information.. too little time
- Very high quality of teaching with the fundamental goal in mind to provide us with the knowledge to be able to learn even more complex material and evolve our general understanding of the topic. I would and will definitely recommend the course to any other student.

The organisation of the course was very good. The combination of homework and lab worked really well to improve the learning process.

- the quiz in the beginning was really helpful
explanations on the board were really useful in order to understand the topic better
- too much hw

Comments regarding the questionnaire:

- It was ok, a bit repetitive.
- No.
- too much questionnaire