

# CDSB


IntRes Bridge Course Interdisciplinary Work in Economics and Social Sciences (Ruenzi, Gschwend, Ciccone)  
 Spring 2021 ()  
 No. of responses = 6



## Survey Results

### Legend


Question text




n=No. of responses  
 av.=Mean  
 md=Median  
 dev.=Std. Dev.  
 ab.=Abstention

### Overall satisfaction


Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor.



Overall, I am very satisfied with the course.



I learned a lot in this course.



### Coursework and learning process

The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples.

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.


Recommended reading materials were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.


The exercise sessions were very useful (if applicable).

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.


The course contents were very well structured.



The course has helped me expand my knowledge of the topic/field.




In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is ...  
(One ECTS credit corresponds to an average workload of approximately 30 hours)




### Instructor


The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course.




Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.




The instructor motivated me.



The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students (if applicable).



Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.




# Profile


Subunit: GESS  
 Name of the instructor: CDSB  
 Name of the course: IntRes Bridge Course Interdisciplinary Work in Economics and Social Sciences (Ruenzi, Gschwend, Ciccone) Spring 2021  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean


## Overall satisfaction



## Coursework and learning process



## Instructor



(\*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.

## Comments Report

---

### Overall satisfaction

What did you especially like about the course?

- I liked the atmosphere to talk about our research from an interdisciplinary perspective. Specially, that the professors were from different disciplines as well as the students, thus the discussions were always very fruitful.
- It was nice to get to know other student's research and interests, especially in a interdisciplinary setting. Also working together as an interdisciplinary team combining different perspectives was a nice experience.
- The different perspectives on topics, the analysis of a paper of a fellow student, the speed dating evening.
- The free discussions and insightful feedback from peers and the instructors.
- The meetings had a good structure. My favorite session was the very first one because it my feeling it was the one that embraced interdisciplinary research the most (at least from a theoretical rather than practical perspective)

Do you have any recommendations how to improve the course? If so, which ones?

- I would have liked to have all participants reading some interdisciplinary papers (maybe recent articles from high-ranked general interest journals, typically not too long) and discussing them in the course
- I would only recommend that we are given the chance to choose with whom we want to work on our study proposal. It is a bit difficult to work with someone when our interests do not overlap.
- Maybe more direct feedback on current research topics.