# Prof. Dr. Thomas Gschwend Current Research Perspectives Fall 2023 () No. of responses = 8



Survey Results											
Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Question text Left pole Scale		2 3	Aedian 0% 2 4 stogram	Quar		ht pole	av.=Me md=Me dev.=S	of responses san edian itd. Dev. istention			
Coursework and learning process											
The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples.	l completely agree	62.5%	37.5%	0%	0%	0%	l do not agree at all	n=8 av.=1,4 md=1,0 dev.=0,5			
Recommended reading materials were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	l completely agree	71.4%	28.6%	0% 3	0%	0%	l do not agree at all	n=7 av.=1,3 md=1,0 dev.=0,5 ab.=1			
The exercise sessions were very useful (if applicable) Th	e evaluation	n will not be	e displa	ayed o	due to	o low res	ponse rate.				
The course contents were very well structured.	l completely agree	62.5%	37.5%	0%	0%	0%	l do not agree at all	n=8 av.=1,4 md=1,0 dev.=0,5			
The course has helped me expand my knowledge of the topic/field.	l completely agree	75%	12.5% 1	2.5%	0%	0%	l do not agree at all	n=8 av.=1,4 md=1,0 dev.=0,7			
In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is (One ECTS credit corresponds to an average workload of approximately 30 hours)	very high	0%	28.6% 7	1.4%	0% 4	0%	very low	n=7 av.=2,7 md=3,0 dev.=0,5 ab.=1			
Instructor											
The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course.	l completely agree	87.5%	2	0%	0%	0%	l do not agree at all	n=8 av.=1,1 md=1,0 dev.=0,4			
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	l completely agree	71.4%	28.6%	 0%  3	0%	0%	l do not agree at all	n=7 av.=1,3 md=1,0 dev.=0,5 ab.=1			
The instructor motivated me.	l completely agree		12.5% 1 	2.5%	0%	0%	l do not agree at all	n=8 av.=1,4 md=1,0 dev.=0,7			

I completely	85.7% 14.3%	0%	0%	0%	I do not agree	n=7 av.=1,1
agrée					at all	md=1,0 dev.=0,4 ab.=1
	1 2	3	4	5		
l completely agree	87.5% 12.5%	0%	0%	0%	l do not agree at all	n=8 av.=1,1 md=1,0 dev.=0,4
	1 2	3	4	5		
l completely	87.5% 12.5%	0%	0%	0%	l do not agree at all	n=8
agree	<b>⊢</b> ∎-1					av.=1,1 md=1,0 dev.=0,4
	1 2	3	4	5		
l completely	50% 37.5%	12.5%	0%	0%	l do not agree	n=8
agree					at all	av.=1,6 md=1,5 dev.=0,7
	1 2	3	4	5		
	75% 12.5%	12.5%	0%	0%		n=8
agree					at all	av.=1,4 md=1,0 dev.=0,7
	I completely agree	I completely agree I completely agree I completely agree I completely I completely agree I completely I completely	I completely agree I completely I completely I completely I completely	I completely agree I completely A	I completely agree I completely I completely I completely I completely I completely I completely I completel	I completely agree 1 do not agree at all I do not agree at a all do

## Profile

Subunit:

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey) GESS Prof. Dr. Thomas Gschwend Current Research Perspectives Fall 2023

Values used in the profile line: Mean

#### Coursework and learning process

The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples.	l completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=8	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
Recommended reading materials were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	l completely agree	++	I do not agree at all	n=7	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
The exercise sessions were very useful (if applicable). (*)	l completely agree		I do not agree at all				
The course contents were very well structured.	l completely agree	<del> </del>	I do not agree at all	n=8	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
The course has helped me expand my knowledge of the topic/field.	l completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=8	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=0,7
In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is (One ECTS credit corresponds to an average workload of approximately 30 hours)	very high		very low	n=7	av.=2,7	md=3,0	dev.=0,5
Instructor							
The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course.	l completely agree	<mark></mark>	I do not agree at all	n=8	av.=1,1	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	l completely agree	<b> \  </b> −−−	I do not agree at all	n=7	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
The instructor motivated me.	l completely agree		 I do not agree at all	n=8	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=0,7
The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students (if applicable).	l completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=7	av.=1,1	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	l completely agree	<mark>-</mark>	I do not agree at all	n=8	av.=1,1	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
Overall satisfaction							
Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor.	l completely		I do not agree				
	agree		at all	n=8	av.=1,1	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
Overall, I am very satisfied with the course.	l completely agree		I do not agree at all	n=8	av.=1,6	md=1,5	dev.=0,7
I learned a lot in this course.	l completely agree	<u> </u>	I do not agree at all	n=8	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=0,7

(\*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.

### Comments Report

#### **Overall satisfaction**

What did you especially like about the course?

- Get an intro about different fields, get ideas, get to know profs
- Goof overview of current research. Diverse topics.
- The course offered a broad overview of very interesting research topics. I really appreciate that so many speakers took the time to join us and present their research.
- This course really helps me to structure my ideas and think about my research goals. It guides my research design and gives me almost a step-by-step guidance to develop and implement my ideas. Amazing!
- Variety of topics, cross-subject thinking

Do you have any recommendations how to improve the course? If so, which ones?

- In-person instead of Zoom, maybe more time
- I would have preferred the course not online but in presence. It just allows me to understand the topic better and the conversations are more lively. Also, it would have been nice to see the researchers at least once in person. As everyone seems to be in Mannheim, this seems quite doable.
- I would have very much welcomed if not only male profs had presented their research. The lack of female lectures is not only a problem in this course, but the CDSS in general.
- maybe postdocs could present their research as well thus the lecturers would not only be male profs
- While it's helpful to get these insights at the beginning of a dissertation project, when still defining the own project, it was a lot of input right after having started the program. I might have benefited a bit more, if it would have been more stretched. However, that's just a minor remark, and I could imagine that others feel differently or that for organisational reasons, it's easier to have the slots close together.