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## Survey Results

## Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers

$\mathrm{n}=$ No. of responses av.=Mean
md=Median
$\mathrm{dev} .=$ Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

## Coursework and learning process

The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples.

Recommended reading materials were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.

The exercise sessions were very useful (if applicable). _ The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.
The course contents were very well structured.
The course has helped me expand my knowledge of the topic/field.


In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is ...
(One ECTS credit corresponds to an average workload of approximately 30 hours)

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.


The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

## Instructor

The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course.


Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.


The instructor motivated me.
I completely agree
 av. $=1,8$
$\mathrm{md}=2$ dev. $=0,8$

The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students (if applicable).


Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m d=1 \\
& \mathrm{dev} .=0,5
\end{aligned}
$$

## Overall satisfaction

Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor.


Overall, I am very satisfied with the course.


I learned a lot in this course.

n=5
av. $=2,2$
dev. $=1,3$

## Profile

## Subunit:

Name of the instructor:
Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

GESS
Prof. Dr. Thomas Gschwend
Dissertation Proposal Workshop (Spring 2023)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## Coursework and learning process

The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples. (*)

Recommended reading materials were useful in facilitating understanding of course content. (*)

The exercise sessions were very useful (if applicable). (*)

The course contents were very well structured. (*)

The course has helped me expand my knowledge of the topic/field.

In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is
IOne ECTS crediticorresponds to an average workload of approximately 30 hours) (*)


## Instructor

The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course.

Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.

The instructor motivated me.

The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students (if applicable).

Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.


| $\mathrm{n}=5$ | av. $=1,0$ | $\mathrm{md}=1,0$ | dev. $=0,0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{n}=5$ | av. $=1,4$ | $\mathrm{md}=1,0$ | dev. $=0,5$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=5$ | av. $=1,8$ | $\mathrm{md}=2,0$ | dev. $=0,8$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=5$ | av. $=2,0$ | $\mathrm{md}=2,0$ | dev. $=0,7$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=5$ | av. $=1,4$ | $\mathrm{md}=1,0$ | dev. $=0,5$ |

## Overall satisfaction

Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor.

Overall, I am very satisfied with the course.

I learned a lot in this course.


| $\mathrm{n}=5$ | $\mathrm{av} .=1,4$ | $\mathrm{md}=1,0$ | dev. $=0,5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{n}=5$ | $\mathrm{av} .=2,2$ | $\mathrm{md}=2,0$ | dev. $=1,3$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=5$ | $\mathrm{av} .=2,2$ | $\mathrm{md}=2,0$ | dev. $=1,3$ |

(*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.

## Comments Report

## Overall satisfaction

What did you especially like about the course?

- Having deadlines to progress, learning about others' ideas and progress, the safe space environment
- Learning about others' research idea. I also like Thomas a lot and his supportive attitude. <3

■ Very good feedback culture and atmosphere. Deadlines helpful for progress.

Do you have any recommendations how to improve the course? If so, which ones?
■ I wish that it was not compulsary and we have the chance to decide to submit and present. If we do not need feedback, or if we think that the feedback will not be helpful, we should not be forced so. We will write that proposal anyway.

- Maybe switch the reviewers for the second workshop. I see the point that the reviewers can see the progress between sessions 1 and 2, but I think it would be even more beneficial if others also read the proposal and could give in-depth feedback. Ensure reviewers are not from the same chair because they often give feedback during a chair colloquium.

