CDSS

Dissertation Proposal Workshop (Prof. Gschwend) ()
No. of responses = 7

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Overall satisfaction

1.3) Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor.



n=7
av.=1,1
md=1
dev.=0,4

1.4) Overall, I am very satisfied with the course.



n=7
av.=1,1
md=1
dev.=0,4

1.5) I learned a lot in this course.



n=7
av.=1,9
md=2
dev.=0,7

2. Coursework and learning process

2.1) The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples.

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

2.2) Recommended reading materials were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

2.3) The exercise sessions were very useful (if applicable).

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

2.4) The course contents were very well structured.



n=6
av.=1,2
md=1
dev.=0,4
ab.=1

2.5) The course has helped me expand my knowledge of the topic/field.



n=6
av.=1,5
md=1,5
dev.=0,5
ab.=1

2.6) In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is ...
(One ECTS credit corresponds to an average workload of approximately 30 hours)



n=5
av.=3
md=3
dev.=0
ab.=2

3. Instructor

3.1) The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course.



n=7
av.=1,6
md=1
dev.=0,8

3.2) Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.



n=7
av.=1,3
md=1
dev.=0,8

3.3) The instructor motivated me.



n=7
av.=1,4
md=1
dev.=0,8

3.4) The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students (if applicable).



n=7
av.=1,3
md=1
dev.=0,5

3.5) Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.



n=7
av.=1,1
md=1
dev.=0,4

Profile

Subunit: GESS
Name of the instructor: CDSS
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Dissertation Proposal Workshop (Prof. Gschwend)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Overall satisfaction



1.3)	Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor.	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*	n=7	av.=1,1	md=1,0 dev.=0,4
1.4)	Overall, I am very satisfied with the course.	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*	n=7	av.=1,1	md=1,0 dev.=0,4
1.5)	I learned a lot in this course.	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*	n=7	av.=1,9	md=2,0 dev.=0,7

2. Coursework and learning process



2.1)	The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples. (*)	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*			
2.2)	Recommended reading materials were useful in facilitating understanding of course content. (*)	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*			
2.3)	The exercise sessions were very useful (if applicable). (*)	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*			
2.4)	The course contents were very well structured.	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0 dev.=0,4
2.5)	The course has helped me expand my knowledge of the topic/field.	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*	n=6	av.=1,5	md=1,5 dev.=0,5
2.6)	In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is ...	*very high*	*very low*	n=5	av.=3,0	md=3,0 dev.=0,0

3. Instructor



3.1)	The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course.	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*	n=7	av.=1,6	md=1,0 dev.=0,8
3.2)	Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*	n=7	av.=1,3	md=1,0 dev.=0,8
3.3)	The instructor motivated me.	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*	n=7	av.=1,4	md=1,0 dev.=0,8
3.4)	The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students (if applicable).	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*	n=7	av.=1,3	md=1,0 dev.=0,5
3.5)	Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	*I completely agree*	*I do not agree at all*	n=7	av.=1,1	md=1,0 dev.=0,4

(*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.

Comments Report

1. Overall satisfaction

1.1) What did you especially like about the course?

- 1) Thomas - he is super helpful and very motivating.
  2) More differentiation in comparison to the previous semester - the feedback is more helpful form the people who actually know the field at least a bit.
  3) Format - very effective and well-organized.
- Good reminder to work on the dissertation proposal regularly
  Motivating because the progress of the proposal is more visible
- Open atmosphere and plenty of time for discussion and feedback.
- That it was interactive and everyone was committed and well prepared.
- That we were able to present in a small group and not with the whole cohort across all disciplines was really good and helpful. With the small group, the atmosphere was really fruitful and we could have engaging discussions that were helpful for everbody. With bigger groups, these dynamics often change, although in our specific cohort we of course didn't observe the counterfactual.
- The opportunity to share ideas with my peers.

1.2) Do you have any recommendations how to improve the course? If so, which ones?

- I really don't. I think this was a great opportunity to present our own work and see what others are doing by reviewing their papers. Nothing to add, I would continue exactly like this.
- Maybe switch reviewers in the second round to get more comments from different people. Other than that - everything works pretty well.
- One could change the reviewers for the second time to facilitate a broader range of feedback.
- The reviewers could have been assigned a little differently to make sure that everyone has reviewers close to their own topic