
CDSS

DIS Dissertation Proposal Workshop (Gschwend) FSS17 () Erfasste Fragebögen = 12



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen Legende Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten Mittelwert Std.-Abw Median Quanti n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=Std.-Abw. E.=Enthaltung 0% 50% 0% 25% 259 Fragetext Rechter Pol Linker Pol Skala Histogramm 1. Course Evaluation 60% 40% 0% 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The course was well structured. n=10 mw=1,4 md=1 s=0,5 E.=2 strongly agree strongly disagree 3 5 2 4 n=9 mw=1,8 md=2 s=0,8 E.=3 33,3% 22,2% 0% 0% 1.2) The choice of topics was well explained by the strongly agree strongly disagree instructor. 5 57,1% 28,6% 14,3% 0% 0% n=7 mw=1,6 md=1 s=0,8 E.=5 1.3) Recommended reading materials were useful in strongly agree strongly disagree facilitating understanding of course content. 2 3 4 5 81.8% 9.1% 0% 0% 9.1% n=11 1.4) Instructor was well prepared. mw=1,3 md=1 strongly agree strongly disagree s=0,6 E.=1 2 3 4 5 25% 75% 0% 0% 0% 1.5) n=12 mw=1,3 md=1 s=0,5 Instructor provided the opportunity for discussions strongly disagree strongly agree and questions. 5 2 Δ 50% 41.7% 8.3% 0% 0% 1.6) Answers given by the instructor were helpful in n=12 strongly agree strongly disagree mw=1,6 md=1,5 s=0,7 clarifying uncertainties. 2 3 4 5 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1.7) Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and n=12 strongly agree strongly disagree mw=1 md=1 s=0 audible. 3 Δ 5 2 83,3% 16,7% 0% 0% 0% ^{1.8)} Course details were announced in time. n=12 mw=1,2 md=1 s=0,4 strongly agree strongly disagree 2 3 4 5 n=6 mw=1,2 md=1 s=0,4 E.=6 0% 0% 83.3% 16.7% 0% 1.9) The exercise sessions were very useful (if strongly strongly disagree applicable). agree 3 4 5



Profillinie

Teilbereich:

Name der/des Lehrenden: CDSS

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: DIS Dissertation Proposal Workshop (Gschwend) FSS17

(Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

GESS

1. Course Evaluation

- ^{1.1)} The course was well structured.
- $^{\left(1.2\right) }$ The choice of topics was well explained by the instructor.
- ^{1.3)} Recommended reading materials were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.
- ^{1.4)} Instructor was well prepared.
- ^{1.5)} Instructor provided the opportunity for discussions and questions.
- ^{1.6)} Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.
- ^{1.7)} Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.
- ^{1.8)} Course details were announced in time.
- ^{1.9)} The exercise sessions were very useful (if applicable).
- ^{1.10)} The course has helped me expand my knowledge of the topic / field
- ^{1.12)} The course content was difficult.
- $^{\rm 1.13)}$ The teaching was good.
- ^{1.14)} Overall, I am satisfied with the course.

strongly disagree	n=10	mw=1,4 md=1,0 s=0,5
strongly disagree	n=9	mw=1,8 md=2,0 s=0,8
strongly disagree	n=7	mw=1,6 md=1,0 s=0,8
strongly disagree	n=11	mw=1,3 md=1,0 s=0,6
strongly disagree	n=12	mw=1,3 md=1,0 s=0,5
strongly disagree	n=12	mw=1,6 md=1,5 s=0,7
strongly disagree	n=12	mw=1,0 md=1,0 s=0,0
strongly disagree	n=12	mw=1,2 md=1,0 s=0,4
strongly disagree	n=6	mw=1,2 md=1,0 s=0,4
strongly disagree	n=9	mw=1,3 md=1,0 s=0,5
strongly disagree	n=9	mw=3,3 md=3,0 s=1,3
strongly disagree	n=11	mw=1,6 md=2,0 s=0,7
strongly disagree	n=11	mw=1,5 md=1,0 s=0,8
	disagree strongly disagree strongly	disagree n=10 strongly disagree n=9 strongly disagree n=7 strongly disagree n=11 strongly disagree n=12 strongly disagree n=12 strongly disagree n=12 strongly disagree n=12 strongly disagree n=12 strongly disagree n=12 strongly disagree n=6 strongly disagree n=9 strongly disagree n=9 strongly disagree n=11 strongly disagree n=11

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

1. Course Evaluation

^{1.15)} What did you especially like about the course?

- Great workshop sessions and discussions!
- I greatly benefitted from the course and it gave all of us the opportunity to engage in fruitful discussions concenring our research proposal. The course facilitated the possibility to ask about methodological and research design specific questions and discuss them in the cohort. Prof. Gschwend was always open for new ideas even if the research presented was far away from this own discipline. I can highly recommend this course.
- I think the course was clearly helpful (although I didn't expected that in the beginning). Making us constantly work (and write!) has helped a lot to clarify my research ideas and helped me when writing the dissertation proposal.
- Nice feedback for own research ideas and good atmosphere during the one day workshops
- Nice to present and listen to research projects, good way to meet fellow PhD students
- Very useful course, helps a lot with structure of course
- ^{1.16)} What could/should be improved?
- A a we had one workshop last semester and two this semester, the content of the presentations were a little redundant (maybe mix up the groups for the second workshop?); I never really got profound feedback, as it is hard to present the topic thoroughly in such a short time (although I don't know who this could improved...);
- Nothing.
- Iarger time intervals between meetings because the dissertation topics or planned experiments did not change within 6 weeks for most of us. More time would be good to improce the proposal more clearly
- would have liked it to be interdisciplinary (I know it was a democratic decision, still I would have profited more)