

# CDSS


Crafting Social Science Research (Gschwend) ()  
Erfasste Fragebögen = 8



## Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen


### Legende

Fragestext




### 1. Course Evaluation


1.1) The course was well structured.




1.2) The choice of topics was well explained by the instructor.




1.3) Recommended reading materials were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.




1.4) Instructor was well prepared.




1.5) Instructor provided the opportunity for discussions and questions.




1.6) Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.




1.7) Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.




1.8) Course details were announced in time.




1.9) The exercise sessions were very useful (if applicable).




1.10) The course has helped me expand my knowledge of the topic / field




1.11) How much effort did you put into the preparation and wrap-up of the weekly course sessions?




1.12) The course content was difficult.



1.13) The teaching was good.



1.14) Overall, I am satisfied with the course.




# Profillinie

Teilbereich: GESS  
 Name der/des Lehrenden: CDSS  
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Crafting Social Science Research (Gschwend)  
 (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

## 1. Course Evaluation



## Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

## 1. Course Evaluation

1.15) What did you especially like about the course?

- I think the course, although covering quite a range of topics, was very insightful esp. regarding things like do's and donts in a paper, and how to make a manuscript more appealing (esp. the tips regarding the introduction of a paper were fantastic). I think this is stuff most people never get to hear about and they have to learn while doing it. So although the course may not have made all of us the "perfect writer" or scholar, at least we heard about some of the things we may stumble upon going forward.

On an additional note: Hearing from someone who is so extremely excited about research always makes for a great course!

- Thomas is a role model. He gave us many useful tips and information how to write the dissertation proposal. The course was very encouraging.
- please keep the discussion sessions at the beginning  
keep the workshop and review sessions  
the exercise session with the graphs was great  
please stay with all the anecdotes about the life as a researcher!
- sharing personal experience and advice and by the instructor, open and very constructive learning environment
- the atmosphere. we could discuss our research ideas in an open and uncritical manner and receive constructive feedback from the lecturer and other students.

1.16) What could/should be improved?

- less overlap with "Theory building and causal inference" seminar
- some sessions (especially session 2 and 3) could have been a little bit shorter  
although sometimes the sessions of Daniel Stegmüllers class and ours were partially synchronized, you could save additional time by doing so even better (e.g. matching and propensity scoring)
- topics on causal inferences and specific techniques were discussed in detail in another course theory building and causal inferences, so i find it unnecessary to spend the time in this course on them.